

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,470	10/31/2000	Paul J. Dow	478SC	1093
7:	590 04/11/2003			
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC PO Box 4390			EXAMINER	
			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
Troy, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	(pplicant(s)			
•	09/702,470	DOW, PAUL J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		rith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>20 February 2003</u> .				
/ '	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appl	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
, —	cuments have been received				
_	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))) .			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign languant 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for one 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/702,470

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on February 20, 2003 has been entered. 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 2. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as More specifically, claim 14 is now confusing due to the presence of the the invention. ambiguous expression "fuel an air mixing" in the second line of the claim. Perhaps, this phrase should be changed to --fuel and air mixing--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found 3. in a prior Office action.
- Claim 23 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pattullo for the 4. reasons explained in paragraph 6 on pages 4 and 5 of the last Office action (Paper No. 7) dated November 20, 2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,470

Art Unit: 1724

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 20, 2003 have been carefully reviewed but are 5. deemed to be unpersuasive for the reasons explained below.

In the first place, it would appear to be irrelevant that Pattullo may employ an interference fit as asserted by applicant. The fact remains that Pattullo's valve head 62 is slidably and resiliently received in slot 72 of polymeric valve shaft 56 (note col. 9, lines 32-34). Pattullo's assembly and interference fit apparently operates virtually identically to applicant's apparatus and will prevent inadvertent separation of the valve head 62 and shaft 56 just as described and shown respectively by applicant on page 8, line 16 to page 9, line 8 of the specification and Figures 14 and 15 of the drawings.

Secondly, despite applicant's comments to the contrary, Figures 15-30 are indeed explicitly described by Pattullo as being drawn to engineering scale as evidenced by col. 9, lines 4-22.

Finally, upon comparison there appears to be no doubt that the length of slot 72 in Pattullo's Figure 23 exceeds the diameter of valve head 62 in Pattullo's Figure 18.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-13 are allowed. 6.
- Claims 14-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection 7. under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,470

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (703) 308-3791.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Facsimile correspondence to Art Unit 1724 must be transmitted through (703) 305-7718. This number is for Art Unit 1724 correspondence only.

Richard L. Chiesa March 28, 2003

Richard L. Chiesa

RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

March 28, 2003